





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/011,634	04/03/1998	MARC ARONHIME	P16370	1164
75	90 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
William H. Dippert		HESS, BRUCE H		
Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
29th Floor	******		1774	
New York, NY 10022		DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Q C2038

<b>*</b> ***			
Office	<b>Action</b>	Sum	marv
011100	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Vuill	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	_ 71/		
Application No.	Applicant(s)	hime et	al.
Bruce H	ess	Group Art Unit	

			Gloup Art Ollit	
	Bruce	Hess	1774	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover she	et beneath the c	correspondence addre	ss-
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(	(S) FROM THE MAILIN	G DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by definition of the period of the period shall, by definition of the period of t</li></ul>	a reply within the statutor ault, expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty HS from the mailing tion to become ABA	(30) days will be considered date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	i timely.
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-24-	03 (Amer	ndment		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ept for formal matters, 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as 213.	to the merits is close	<b>d</b> in
Disposition of Claims	5-01			
>Claim(s) 29-45,66-76 and	80-84	is/are	pending in the applicati	ion.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from conside	eration.
Claim(s) 29-45,66-76 and	90.94	is/are	allowed.	
Claim(s) 29 13, 66 10 axa	. 80 07	is/are	rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			bject to restriction or el	ection
Application Papers			ement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			ved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	jected to by the Exam	iner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19 (a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been			<del></del> :	•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume			•	
in this national stage application from the Internation	•	,		
*Certified copies not received:	· · · · ·		•	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
Attachment(s)		☐ Interview Sun	nmary, PTO-413	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	- Interview Can	••	
			rmal Patent Application	, PTO-15

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/011,634

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. Upon reconsideration, all previous rejections of record are no longer adhered to.
- 2. Claims 29-45, 66-76 and 80-84 are rejected under 35 USC 112 (first paragraph) as being broader than the enabling disclosure as a result of applicants' failure to recite that the outer release layer has a thickness of less than 1 mm and contains less than 10% silicone oil and 4% filler material.

Applicants' showing of "non-tackiness" is limited to the use of materials having the above parameters. Absent these parameters, there is no basis of support for the present "non-tacky " recitation.

3. Claims 29, 35-41, 76 and 80-84 are recited under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by applicants' statement of the prior art.

These claims read on a compound (i.e. a condensation cured silicone which is an acknowledged known material) on a generic base layer. As known compounds, it is inherent that condensation cured silicones have come into contact with a substrate (i.e., a "base layer"). Such a structure (i.e., a known compound on a generic substrate) reads on the articles recited in claims 29, 35-41, 76 and 80-84. Since "less than" includes zero %, claims 35-41 and 80-82 are also anticipated.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER